

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/830,157	SIBRAI, ANDREAS	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ann T. Hoang	2836	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ann T. Hoang.

(3) _____

(2) Stephen B. Ackerman.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 September 2006

Time: 10:45 a.m.

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 10-13, 33, 35, and 36

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed changes to claims in order to address objections regarding minor informalities. Attorney approved an examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)